STOVL F-35B JSF IS BASELINE DESIGN:

[•] Inappropriately influenced other variant designs

- Aerospace version of Herpes
- Gift that will keep on giving for LOT

SUSCEPTIBLE TO AERO/ELASTIC INSTABILITIES (circa 2004)

- [•] Unacceptable Limits on Mission Performance
- **Degraded aero/propulsive performance**
- * Degraded aircraft structural life
- * Fails to meet Threshold * JORD Specifications

HIGH AERODYNAMIC DRAG DESIGN

- (circa 2004)
- Fails to meet Combat Radius KPP
- Degraded aero/propulsive performance
- High wing/stores interference drag component
- Fails to meet Threshold * JORD Specifications

LO DESIGN OBSOLETE / INADEQUATE (circa 2004)

- Defined and designed for legacy threat environments
- Survivability inadequate against modern threats
- Not viable for penetration, OCA/DCA and SEAD/DEAD roles
- Lethality loss due to low survivability
- Fails to meet Threshold * JORD Specifications

F-35 JSF ICP/SOFTWARE ARCHITECTURE (circa 2002)

- **Unable to meet computational needs**
- **Exacerbates thermal management problems**
- Unable to meet mid & long term growth needs
- Software complexity/complication is outlier

F-35A JSF AN AERO/PROPULSIVE OUTLIER (circa 2004)

- "Fighter performance comparable with....."
- Does not meet sole performance KPP
- **Degraded aero/propulsive performance**
- **Does not meet performance KPIs**
- Fails to meet Threshold * JORD Specifications

PRIMARY SOURCE OF RISKS LOOSELY COUPLED "COMPROMISE ^3" **DESIGN METHODOLOGY RISKS** (circa 2003)

- **Outlier designs**
- Huge growth in costs
- Huge overruns and delays in schedule
- Major & serious deficiencies/defects in designs
- 'Fixes' become "self eating watermelons"
- [•] Fails to meet Threshold * JORD Specifications

- **Obsolete and Overmatched before IOC**



Loss of technological edge needed for air superiority Loss of strategic edge needed for air superiority Damage to whole Force Structure - "Cuckoo in Nest"

INDEPENDENT EXPERTS (circa 2003) * All identified and assessed risks materialise

* Threshold = Bare Minimum Acceptable Specification

Legend **IDENTIFIED RISK ASSESSED AT HIGH or EXTREME LEVEL** (date identified and assessed) **Reasons behind risks** Main Consequences of Materialised Risks

Data Sources: US DoD QLR Report, GAO, CRS, Air Power Australia, PGAA Copyright (c) Air Power Australia, PGAA,, Peter Goon : December 2011

DOES NOT INCLUDE RISKS INCUMBENT IN: ALIS, ALGS, TMS, OMS or PHM **Supportability and Sovereign Control** Interoperability and NCW (e.g MADLS, et al) Fact that JSF is not a 5th Generation Fighter

SWAT COMPROMISED STRUCTURAL DURABILITY

F-35 JSF ELECTRICAL FLIGHT CONTROLS (circa 2002)

Challenge power generating capability **Exacerbate thermal management problems** Increase ballistic vulnerability in all roles May never provide required control effect Higher than expected duty cycles Unsuitable for addressing aero/elastic issues

F-35C TAIL HOOK INSTALLATION AN OUTLIER (circa 2008)

Compromised tail hook design on F-35A **Emergency arrestments highy problematic** Fails to meet Threshold * JORD Specifications

JSF FUEL DUMP SYSTEM ENTRAINMENT (circa 2007/8)

Entrainment obvious during AA-1 testing Expensive fix will have RCS/LO implications